

Comments on "Bigger Rip with no dark energy"

A. Kwang-Hua CHU

Department of Physics, Xinjiang University, Urumqi 830046, PR China

Abstract

We make corrections on the paper by Frampton and Takahashi [*Astropart. Phys.* **22** (2004) 307]. Our focus is especially upon Eqs. (12-15) therein.

Frampton and Takahashi just presented an interesting paper which shows that a singularity can occur at a finite future time in an expanding Friedmann universe [1]. By studying a modified Friedmann equation which arises in an extension of general relativity (GR) or the modification of GR (due to Dvali, Gabadadze and Porrati [2] (DGP); considering the four-dimensional gravity which arises from five-dimensional general relativity confined to a brane with three space dimensions; the action is $S = [M(t)]^3 \int d^5X \sqrt{G} \mathcal{R}^{(5)} + M_{Planck}^2 \int d^4x \sqrt{g} R$, where $\mathcal{R}^{(5)}$ and R are the scalar curvature in five and four-dimensional spacetime respectively, and G and g are the determinant of the five- and four-dimensional spacetime metric) which accommodates a time-dependent fundamental length $L(t) (\equiv M_{Planck}^2/[M(t)]^3 = [H(t)]^{-1})$, they considered cosmological models where the scale factor diverges with an essential singularity at a finite future time. Their models have no dark energy in the conventional sense of energy possessing a simple pressure-energy relationship.

Frampton and Takahashi generalized the Schwarzschild solution to this modification of GR [7] together with the modification of the Newton potential at short distances being given by

$$V(r) = -\frac{Gm}{r} - \frac{4\sqrt{Gm}\sqrt{r}}{L(t)} = -\frac{r_g}{2r} - \frac{2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{r_g r}}{L(t)},$$

where $r_g = 2Gm$ is the Schwarzschild radius.

The present author would like to point out some mistakes in [1] here. Firstly, Frampton and Takahashi defined $L(t) = [L(t_0)]^{-1}[T(t)]^p$ (cf. Eq. (6) in [1]) and then wrote down the modified Friedmann equation for DGP gravity being, in an empty universe, $[H(t)]^2 - H(t)/L(t) = 0$ (cf. Eq. (11) in [1]). He thus got

$$\frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)} = H(t) = \frac{H(t_0)}{T^p} \quad (1)$$

which is Eq. (12) in [1]. But, as the present author checked, with $L(t) = 1/[H(t)]$, Eq. (12) should be read as

$$\frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)} = H(t) = \frac{1}{H(t_0)T^p}. \quad (2)$$

Even if we follow their assumption : neglecting, for the future evolution, the term $(\rho_M + \rho_\gamma)/(3M_{Planck}^2)$ on the right-hand-side of the modified Friedmann equation. Meanwhile, with $\gamma = -dT/dt = 1/(t_{rip} - t_0)$, we then have

$$\ln a(t) = - \int_1^{T(t)} \frac{L(t_0)}{\gamma T^p} dT, \quad (3)$$

instead of the expression shown in the right-hand-side of Eq. (13) in [1]. Thus, for $p = 1$, which is similar to dark energy with a constant $w < -1$ equation of state : Eq. (14) in [1] should be changed to

$$a(t) = T^{-L(t_0)/\gamma}, \quad (4)$$

while for the Bigger Rip case $p > 1$ one finds

$$a(t) = a(t_0) \exp\left[\left(\frac{1}{T^{p-1}} - 1\right) \frac{L(t_0)}{\gamma(p-1)}\right], \quad (5)$$

instead of the Eq. (15) shown in [1].

To conclude in brief, as the derivations of Eqs. (12-15) in [1] had something wrong with the position of $L(t_0)$ (if Eq. (6) is correct in [1]) which the present author just corrected above, the remaining statements and mathematical derivations associated with Eqs. (12-15) should be corrected simultaneously for the physical interpretation of Frampton and Takahashi's subsequent presentation in [1]. *Acknowledgements.* The author is partially supported by the Starting Funds of 2005-XJU-Scholars.

References

- [1] P.H. Frampton and T. Takahashi, Astropart. Phys. 22 (2004) 307.
- [2] G. Dvali, G. Gabadadze, M. Porrati, Phys. Lett. B 485 (2000) 208. Available from: [hep-th/0005016].